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### 1.0 Introduction

$\mathbf{V}_{\text {olleyball }}$ is a popular sport that is played professionally, as well as in recreational leagues, on school teams, in backyards, at local, national and international levels. The game of volleyball can be enjoyed virtually anywhere a net can be set up and hence, has a wide following. Volleyball in India is a popular sport that is played in various regions of India and several reputed Volleyball. The performance of the volleyball players in various tournaments is critical for their professional development and career advancement in this field. Hence, in order to develop new mechanisms for the physical development of the players as well as ensuring their optimum performance, it is necessary to know their body composition status.

The search for valid methods of measuring body composition that are practical and inexpensive is an ongoing process for exercise scientists and sports coaches along with the nutritionists. In view of the popularity of the volleyball game, it is apparent that the players should be in proper shape to carry out various activities during the game. These actions primarily involves repeated jumping, blocking, spiking, power hitting, and setting which require a proper body shape as well as high level of strength and power. In addition, a detailed information about the body composition of volleyball players can provide valuable information about their health and metabolic status. Hence, a systematic study was carried out for volleyball players as the game is popular and played throughout the world. Hence, in the background of above information researcher decided to select above mentioned topic for study. In this study only male volleyball players who have participated in the All India Inter University

Volleyball tournaments were selected. Also, the selected body composition parameters include

### 2.0 Research Methodology

### 2.1 Selection of Subjects

In this study a total of 300 volleyball players participating in the All India Inter University tournament were selected as subjects. The age group of the subjects ranged between 18 and 28 years.

### 2.2 Criterion Measures

### 2.2.1 Body Composition

The following measurements were taken for these variables:

## 1) Height

The subjects were asked to stand erect, barefooted on a plane horizontal surface against a wall, with their heels, back of the shoulders and head touching the wall. The measurement was recorded from the anthrop meter's eye correct up to 0.1 cm .

## 2) Body Weight

The subjects were asked to stand erect in the centre of the platform of a portable weighing machine with equal pressure on both the feet. Weight was measured in Kgs.

## 3) Arm Length

Arm length was measured with the standard steel tape. The subjects were asked to stand erect with their feet together. The measurement was taken from point of the shoulder to the tip of the middle finger. The readings were recorded to the nearest centimeter.

## 4) Leg Length

The leg length of the subjects was measured with standard steel tape. The subjects were asked to stand erect with their feet together. The measurements were taken from the outside edge of the centre of the foot to the upper edge of the greater trichinae.
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Readings were recorded to the nearest centimeters.

## 5) Biceps Girth

The subjects were asked to stand erect with equal weight on both the feet and with hands hanging freely. The biceps girth was measured on the left naked upper arm. The measurements were taken with a steel tape at the level of halfway between the tip of acromion and colcannon in centimeters.
6) Upper Thigh Girth

The subjects were made to stand with their feet slightly apart and with their weight equally distributed on both the legs. The tape was placed around the thigh between the points trochantorion and tibia. The girth was recorded in centimeters.

### 2.3 Design of the Study

A random group design was used for the study.

### 2.4 Statistical procedure employed

The collected data was analysed statistically with the use of mean, standard deviation, standard error, skewness, kurtosis, etc. The percentiles were also determined for the various aerobic capacity and body composition parameters.

### 3.0 Analysis of the Data and Results of the Study

### 3.1 Height - Descriptive Statistics

Table 1: Height of the volleyball players

|  | Statistics | Height in meters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00000000000 | Mean | 1.76 |
|  | Standard <br> Deviation | $\pm 0.06$ \V |
|  | Standard Error | 0.00 |
|  | Minimum | 1.62 |
|  | Maximum | 1.93 |
|  | Skewness | . 241 |
|  | Kurtosis | -. 529 |
|  |  |  |

The descriptive statistics (Table 1) revealed that the height of the volleyball players is $1.76 \pm 0.06$ meters while standard error was 0.00 . However, total variation in the height of the volleyball players was observed between 1.62 and 1.93 meters and skewness and kurtosis values are .241 and -.529 respectively. Overall, the skewness and kurtosis values indicated that the data is consistent and the techniques used for data generation are reliable.

### 3.2 Height

Table 2: Percentile scores of Volleyball players for height measurement

| S.N. | Percentile | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | 1.68 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $20^{\text {th }}$ | 1.71 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $30^{\text {th }}$ | 1.72 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $40^{\text {th }}$ | 1.73 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $50^{\text {th }}$ | 1.74 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $60^{\text {th }}$ | 1.78 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $70^{\text {th }}$ | 1.80 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $80^{\text {th }}$ | 1.82 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $90^{\text {th }}$ | 1.84 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $99^{\text {th }}$ | 1.93 |

In view of the study results, the data for height measurement was further analyzed to determine the percentile scores with respect to height of volleyball players. The $10^{\text {th }}$ to $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile scores for height of volleyball players were determined from the collected data. The results are provided in above Table 2. The $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile value for the height was 1.93 meters, while that of $90^{\text {th }}$, $80^{\text {th }}, 70^{\text {th }}, 60^{\text {th }}$ and $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile, it was $1.84,1.82$, 1.80, 1.78 and 1.74 meters respectively, furthermore, for the $40^{\text {th }}, 30^{\text {th }}$ and $20^{\text {th }}$ percentile score was $1.73,1.72$ and 1.71 meters respectively and for $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile it was 1.68 meters. The overall spread of data shows that the difference between the height of volleyball pla yer at $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile and $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile is of 0.25 meters.

### 3.3 Weight - Descriptive Statistics

Table 3: Weight of the volleyball players

|  | Statistics | Weight in Kg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | 64.9 |
|  | Standard Deviation | $\pm 8.3$ |
|  | Standard <br> Error | 0.48 |
|  | Minimum | 47.0 |
|  | Maximum | 88.0 |
|  | Skewness | . 277 |
|  | Kurtosis | -. 394 |
|  |  | 300 |

The descriptive statistics (Table 3) revealed that the weight of the volleyball players is $64.9 \pm 8.3$ kg while standard error was 0.48 . However, total variation in the weight of the volleyball players was

| VOL- VI | ISSUE-X | OCTOBER | 2019 | PEER REVIEW | IMPACT FACTOR | ISSN |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

observed between 47.0 and 88.0 kg and skewness and kurtosis values are .277 and -.394 respectively. Overall, the skewness and kurtosis values indicated that the data is consistent and the techniques used for data generation are reliable.

### 3.4 Weight

Table 4 : Percentile scores of Volleyball players for weight measurement

| S.N. | Percentile | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | 54.0 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $20^{\text {th }}$ | 58.0 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $30^{\text {th }}$ | 59.0 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $40^{\text {th }}$ | 63.0 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $50^{\text {th }}$ | 65.0 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $60^{\text {th }}$ | 66.0 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $70^{\text {th }}$ | 67.7 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $80^{\text {th }}$ | 74.9 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $90^{\text {th }}$ | 75.9 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $99^{\text {th }}$ | 88.0 |

In view of the study results, the data for weight measurement was further analyzed to determine the percentile scores with respect to weight of volleyball players. The $10^{\text {th }}$ to $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile scores for weight of volleyball players were determined from the collected data. The results are provided in above Table 4. The $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile value for the weight was 88.0 kg , while that of $90^{\text {th }}$, $80^{\text {th }}, 70^{\text {th }}, 60^{\text {th }}$ and $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile, it was $75.9,74.9$, $67.7,66.0$ and 65.0 kg respectively, furthermore, for the $40^{\text {th }}, 30^{\text {th }}$ and $20^{\text {th }}$ percentile score was $63.0,59.0$ and 58.0 kg respectively and for $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile it was 54.0 kg . The overall spread of data shows that the difference between the weight of volleyball player at $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile and $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile is of 34 kg . The low variations in weight values show that all the volleyball players have suitable weight for the game.

### 3.5 Arm Length - Descriptive Statistics

Table 5 : Arm Length of the volleyball players

|  | Statistics | Arm length in cm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | 58.1 |
|  | Standard Deviation | $\pm 3.6$ |
|  | Standard Error | 0.21 |
|  | Minimum | 50.0 |
|  | Maximum | 69.0 |
|  | Skewness | . 511 |
|  | Kurtosis | . 319 |
|  |  |  |

The descriptive statistics (Table 5) revealed that the arm length of the volleyball players is $58.1 \pm 3.6 \mathrm{~cm}$ while standard error was 0.21 . However, total variation in the arm length of the volleyball players was observed between 50.0 and 69.0 cm and skewness and kurtosis values are .511 and .319 respectively. Overall, the skewness and kurtosis values indicated that the data is consistent and the techniques used for data generation are reliable.

### 3.6 Arm Length

Table 6 : Percentile scores of Volleyball players for arm length measurement

| S.N. | Percentile | Arm Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | 54.0 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $20^{\text {th }}$ | 55.0 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $30^{\text {th }}$ | 56.0 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $40^{\text {th }}$ | 56.5 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $50^{\text {th }}$ | 58.0 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $60^{\text {th }}$ | 59.0 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $70^{\text {th }}$ | 60.0 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $80^{\text {th }}$ | 61.0 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $90^{\text {th }}$ | 63.5 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $99^{\text {th }}$ | 69.0 |

In view of the study results, the data for arm length measurement was further analyzed to determine the percentile scores with respect to arm length of volleyball players. The $10^{\text {th }}$ to $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile scores for arm length of volleyball players were determined from the collected data. The results are provided in above Table 6. The $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile value for the arm length was 69.0 cm , while that of $90^{\text {th }}, 80^{\text {th }}, 70^{\text {th }}, 60^{\text {th }}$ and $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile, it was 63.5 , $61.0,60.0,59.0$ and 58.0 cm respectively, furthermore, for the $40^{\text {th }}, 30^{\text {th }}$ and $20^{\text {th }}$ percentile score was $56.5,56.0$ and 55.0 cm respectively and for $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile it was 54.0 cm . The overall spread of data shows that the difference between the arm length of volleyball player at $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile and $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile is of 15 cm . The high variations in arm length values show that all the volleyball players have suitable arm length for the game.

### 3.7 Leg Length - Descriptive Statistics

Table 7: Leg Length of the volleyball players

|  | Statistics | Leg length in cm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 気 } \\ & 0.0 .0 .0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Mean | 94.7 |
|  | Standard Deviation | $\pm 5.9$ |
|  | Standard Error | 0.34 |
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|  | Minimum | 70.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Maximum | 108.0 |
|  | Skewness | -1.488 |
|  | Kurtosis | 3.413 |
|  | $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{3 0 0}$ |  |

The descriptive statistics (Table 7) revealed that the leg length of the volleyball players is $94.7 \pm 5.9 \mathrm{~cm}$ while standard error was 0.34 . However, total variation in the leg length of the volleyball players was observed between 70.5 and 108.0 cm and skewness and kurtosis values are 1.488 and 3.413 respectively. Overall, the skewness and kurtosis values indicated that the data is consistent and the techniques used for data generation are reliable.

### 3.8 Leg Length

Table 8: Percentile scores of Volleyball players for leg length measurement

| S.N. | Percentile | Leg Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | 87.5 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $20^{\text {th }}$ | 91.0 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $30^{\text {th }}$ | 93.5 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $40^{\text {th }}$ | 95.0 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $50^{\text {th }}$ | 96.0 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $60^{\text {th }}$ | 97.0 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $70^{\text {th }}$ | 97.5 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $80^{\text {th }}$ | 99.0 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $90^{\text {th }}$ | 101.0 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $99^{\text {th }}$ | 108.0 |

In view of the study results, the data for leg length measurement was further analyzed to determine the percentile scores with respect to leg length of volleyball players. The $10^{\text {th }}$ to $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile scores for leg length of volleyball players were determined from the collected data. The results are provided in above Table 8. The $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile value for the leg length was 108.0 cm , while that of $90^{\text {th }}, 80^{\text {th }}, 70^{\text {th }}, 60^{\text {th }}$ and $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile, it was 101.0 , $99.0,97.5,97.0$ and 96.0 cm respectively, furthermore, for the $40^{\text {th }}, 30^{\text {th }}$ and $20^{\text {th }}$ percentile score was $95.0,93.5$ and 91.0 cm respectively and for $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile it was 87.5 cm . The overall spread of data shows that the difference between the leg length of volleyball player at $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile and $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile is of 20.5 cm . The high variations in leg
length values show that all the volleyball players have suitable leg length for the game.
3.9 Biceps Girth - Descriptive Statistics

Table 9 : Biceps Girth of the volleyball players

|  | Statistics | Biceps girth in cm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | 30.4 |
|  | Standard Deviation | $\pm 1.9$ |
|  | Standard Error | 0.11 |
|  | Minimum | 26.0 |
|  | Maximum | 103.0 |
|  | Skewness | . 188 |
|  | Kurtosis | -. 342 |
|  | T/7 ${ }^{\text {N }}$ |  |

The descriptive statistics (Table 9) revealed that the biceps girth of the volleyball players is $30.4 \pm 1.9 \mathrm{~cm}$ while standard error was 0.11 . However, total variation in the biceps girth of the volleyball players was observed between 26 and 103 cm and skewness and kurtosis values are .188 and .342 respectively. Overall, the skewness and kurtosis values indicated that the data is consistent and the techniques used for data generation are reliable.

### 3.10 Biceps Girth

Table 10 : Percentile scores of Volleyball players for biceps girth measurement

| S.N. | Percentile | Biceps Girth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | 28.0 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $20^{\text {th }}$ | 29.0 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $30^{\text {th }}$ | 29.0 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $40^{\text {th }}$ | 30.0 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $50^{\text {th }}$ | 30.0 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $60^{\text {th }}$ | 31.0 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $70^{\text {th }}$ | 31.0 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $80^{\text {th }}$ | 32.0 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $90^{\text {th }}$ | 33.0 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $99^{\text {th }}$ | 35.0 |

In view of the study results, the data for biceps girth measurement was further analyzed to determine the percentile scores with respect to biceps girth of volleyball players. The $10^{\text {th }}$ to $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile scores for biceps girth of volleyball players were determined from the collected data. The results are provided in above Table 10. The $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile value for the biceps girth was 35.0 cm , while that of $90^{\text {th }}, 80^{\text {th }}, 70^{\text {th }}, 60^{\text {th }}$ and $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile, it was 33.0 , $32.0,31.0,31.0$ and 30.0 cm respectively, furthermore, for the $40^{\text {th }}, 30^{\text {th }}$ and $20^{\text {th }}$ percentile
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score was $30.0,29.0$ and 29.0 cm respectively and for $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile it was 28.0 cm . The overall spread of data shows that the difference between the biceps girth of volleyball player at $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile and $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile is of 7 cm . The high variations in biceps girth values show that all the volleyball players have suitable biceps girth for the game.

### 3.11 Upper Thigh Girth - Descriptive Statistics

Table 11 : Upper Thigh Girth of the volleyball players

|  | Statistics | Upper thigh girth in cm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00000000000 | Mean | 54.5 |
|  | Standard Deviation | $\pm 3.8$ |
|  | Standard Error | 0.22 |
|  | Minimum | - 47.0 |
|  | Maximum | 67.0 |
|  | Skewness | . 963 |
|  | Kurtosis | 1.286 |
|  |  | $=300$ |

The descriptive statistics (Table 11) revealed that the upper thigh girth of the volleyball players is $54.5 \pm 3.8 \mathrm{~cm}$ while standard error was 0.22 . However, total variation in the upper thigh girth of the volleyball players was observed between 47 and 67 cm and skewness and kurtosis values are .963 and 1.286 respectively. Overall, the skewness and kurtosis values indicated that the data is consistent and the techniques used for data generation are reliable.

### 3.12 Upper Thigh Girth

Table 12 : Percentile scores of Volleyball players for upper thigh girth measurement

| S.N. | Percentile | Upper Thigh Girth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ | 50.0 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $20^{\text {th }}$ | 51.0 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $30^{\text {th }}$ | 52.0 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $40^{\text {th }}$ | 53.0 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $50^{\text {th }}$ | 54.0 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $60^{\text {th }}$ | 55.0 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $70^{\text {th }}$ | 56.0 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $80^{\text {th }}$ | 57.0 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $90^{\text {th }}$ | 58.0 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $99^{\text {th }}$ | 67.0 |

In view of the study results, the data for upper thigh girth measurement was further analyzed
to determine the percentile scores with respect to upper thigh girth of volleyball players. The $10^{\text {th }}$ to $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile scores for upper thigh girth of volleyball players were determined from the collected data. The results are provided in above Table 12. The $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile value for the upper thigh girth was 67.0 cm , while that of $90^{\text {th }}, 80^{\text {th }}, 70^{\text {th }}$, $60^{\text {th }}$ and $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile, it was $58.0,57.0,56.0,55.0$ and 54.0 cm respectively, furthermore, for the $40^{\text {th }}$, $30^{\text {th }}$ and $20^{\text {th }}$ percentile score was $53.0,52.0$ and 51.0 cm respectively and for $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile it was 50.0 cm . The overall spread of data shows that the difference between the upper thigh girth of volleyball player at $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile and $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile is of 17 cm . The high variations in upper thigh girth values show that all the volleyball players have suitable upper thigh girth for the game.

### 4.0 Conclusions

4.1 Conclusions related to quality of data generated in this study

### 4.1.1 Height

- On the basis of study results it is evident that, the overall spread of data shows that the difference between the height of volleyball player at 99th percentile and 10th percentile is of 0.25 meters.


### 4.1.2 Weight

- On the basis of study results it is evident that, the overall spread of data shows that the difference between the weight of volleyball player at 99th percentile and 10th percentile is of 34 kg .


### 4.1.3 Arm Length

- On the basis of the study results it is evident that, the overall spread of data shows that the difference between the arm length of volleyball player at 99th percentile and 10th percentile is of 15 cm .


### 4.1.4 Leg Length

- On the basis of the study results it is evident that, the overall spread of data shows that the difference between the leg length of volleyball player at 99th percentile and 10th percentile is of 20.5 cm .


### 4.1.5 Biceps Girth

- On the basis of the study results it is evident that, the overall spread of data shows that the
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difference between the biceps girth of volleyball player at 99th percentile and 10th percentile is of 7 cm .

### 4.1.6 Upper Thigh Girth

- On the basis of the study results it is evident that, the overall spread of data shows that the difference between the upper thigh girth of volleyball player at 99th percentile and 10th percentile is of 17 cm .


### 4.2 Norms

The percentile norms of selected test items indicate that the distribution of scores of almost all the test-items resides in the normal range of a probability curve. The final norms obtained for all the test items are presented hereunder

### 4.2.1 Norms for the height of Volleyball Players

| S.N. | Performance | Percentile | Height in meters |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Excellent | $>90$ | $>1.84$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Good | $70^{\text {th }}$ to $90^{\text {th }}$ | 1.80 to 1.84 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Average | $40^{\text {th }}$ to $70^{\text {th }}$ | 1.73 to 1.80 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Below Average | $<40^{\text {th }}$ | Less than 1.73 |

4.2.2 Norms for the weight of Volleyball Players

| S.N. | Performance | Percentile | Weight in Kg |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Excellent | $<40^{\text {th }}$ | $<63$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Good | $40^{\text {th }}$ to $70^{\text {th }}$ | 63 to 67.7 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Average | $70^{\text {th }}$ to $90^{\text {th }}$ | 67.7 to 75.9 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Below Average | $>90$ | Above 75.9 |

### 4.2.3 Norms for the arm length of Volleyball

Players

| S.N. | Performance | Percentile | Arm length in cm |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Excellent | $>90$ | $>63.5$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Good | $70^{\text {th }}$ to $90^{\text {th }}$ | 60 to 63.5 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Average | $40^{\text {th }}$ to $70^{\text {th }}$ | 56.5 to 60.0 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Below Average | $<40^{\text {th }}$ | Less than 56.5 |

### 4.2.4 Norms for the leg length of Volleyball Players

| S.N. | Performance | Percentile | Leg length in cm |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Excellent | $>90$ | $>101.0$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Good | $70^{\text {th }}$ to $90^{\text {th }}$ | 97.5 to 101.0 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Average | $40^{\text {th }}$ to $70^{\text {th }}$ | 95.0 to 97.5 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Below Average | $<40^{\text {th }}$ | Less than 95.0 |

### 4.4.5 Norms for the biceps girth of Volleyball Players

| S.N. | Performance | Percentile | Biceps girth in cm |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Excellent | $>90$ | $>33$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Good | $70^{\text {th }}$ to $90^{\text {th }}$ | 31 to 33 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Average | $40^{\text {th }}$ to $70^{\text {th }}$ | 30 to 31 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Below Average | $<40^{\text {th }}$ | Less than 30 |

### 4.2.6 Norms for the upper thigh girth

| S.N <br> . | Performance | Percentile | Upper thigh girth in <br> cm |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Excellent | $>90$ | $>58.0$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Good | $70^{\text {th }}$ <br> $90^{\text {th }}$ | 56.0 to 58.0 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Average | $40^{\text {th }}$ to <br> $70^{\text {th }}$ | 53.0 to 56.0 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Below <br> Average | $\left\langle 40^{\text {th }}\right.$ | Less than 53.0 |
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